Financing Nigeria

Unlocking liquidity crucial to fuelling development

FRIA -

Ayo Teriba

Key Recommendations

• The Nigerian government should securitise (not sell) equity holdings in the Nigeria Liquefied Natural Gas (NLNG) and other oil and gas joint ventures. This would give Nigerians the opportunity to acquire a stake in such assets and also earn dividends.

• Where relevant, Nigerian wholly-owned assets should be converted into securitisable joint ventures in which government owns up to 49%. Privatising (selling) the rest to allow foreign investors to own a minimum of 51% will bring success similar to the NLNG joint venture.

· Liberalising and privatising will help to attract greenfield FDI. This will loosen the Nigerian government's monopoly on infrastructure, also encouraging the entry of foreign investors to operate in parallel to joint ventures.

· Government should focus on commercialising idle or underutilised government-owned land and built structures through leasing (not selling) them. Relocating uneconomic activities from prime locations and repurposing such locations for leasing will also open new lease/rental income streams to boost revenue.

here are growing concerns about Nigeria's fiscal situation. Key sources of concern are the country's dwindling revenues, soaring deficits, growing debts levels and escalating debt burden. These led the International Monetary Fund (IMF) to urge the government "to lower the ratio of interest payments to revenue and make room for priority expenditure" during its March 2019 Article IV Consultation with Nigeria. Some local and foreign media organisations and commentators have also raised questions about Nigeria's solvency.

Nigeria urgently needs to address three main types of illiquidity, if the country is to fully come to grip with its persistently low financing thresholds. The three types of illiquidity challenge - fiscal, financial and foreign exchange – are each analysed in turn in the following sections.

1. Fiscal liquidity threshold

There are three thresholds of fiscal liquidity that government revenue should meet, namely:

- Recurrent spending (salaries, overheads and debt service/interest payments)
- · Capital spending

Debt repayment and/or rainy-day funds - fiscal buffers



Figure 1: Revenue adequacy 2018

At well below 10% of gross domestic product (GDP) since 2015, the total revenue available to the three tiers of government has fallen so low that it does not even cover the recurrent spending threshold of fiscal liquidity, as salaries and debt service each amounted to 70% of federal government revenue in 2017. Deficits had to be incurred to meet both in full, with additional borrowings to meet shrinking overheads and a small and contracting capital spending. With less access to debt markets, many states were unable to meet their salary obligations in full, creating unpaid arrears of more than 12 months in some.



Figure 2: Nigerian exports of goods and services (in billion USD), 2004 - 2018

Oil exports and taxes are the main sources of government revenue in Nigeria. The oil price's weakness since July 2014 and oil output losses have combined to see annual export earnings in the country fall from an average of almost \$100 billion in 2010-2014 to less than \$50 billion since 2015, with strong negative implications for government revenue. Concomitant bouts of growth reversal and devaluation since 2015 have eroded corporate profits and household incomes, with adverse implications for tax revenues.





Figure 3: Federal Government revenue versus spending (as % of GDP)

Figure 4: Government revenue in 2017 (as % of GDP), Nigeria in comparison



The Nigerian government must find ways of opening new streams of non-export and non-tax revenue to cover the higher thresholds of fiscal liquidity. Most of Nigeria's peers currently have total revenues that range between 15% and 30% of their GDP, compared to about 6% or 7% of GDP in Nigeria, and therefore are able to meet much higher thresholds of fiscal liquidity. As mentioned earlier, many of them have achieved this by opening new non-tax revenue streams that flow from optimising national assets. Nigeria's peers include BRICS (Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa), MINT (Malaysia, Indonesia and Turkey), African countries with a GDP of \$50 billion or more, and Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates in the Middle East.

2. Financial liquidity threshold

There are three thresholds for domestic liquidity that banks, bonds and equity markets should meet to ensure adequate access to low-cost finance for households and businesses:

- Transactions or payments threshold
- Precautionary or savings threshold
- Speculative or investment threshold (domestic money, bonds or equity buffers)

Nigeria meets only the lowest threshold of domestic financing, as the funds at the disposal of domestic banks can only meet transactions or payments needs, meaning that they do little more than honour demands for cash and e-payments at points of sale and domestic fund transfers (which they do remarkably well). Nigerian banks disappoint when it comes to extending loans, as the total deposits at their disposal are so low - around 20% of GDP - that they do not have the necessary funds. This effectively makes them financial intermediaries in name only. In peer countries bank deposits are 40-200% of GDP.

	Money	Bonds	Equity
Nigeria	23%	28%	8%
South			
Africa	73%	57%	236%
India	73%	70%	76%
Indonesia	39%	29%	47%

Table 1: Money, bonds and equity (as % of GDP) in 2018, Nigeria in comparison

	Fiscal liquidity (total government revenue as % of GDP)	Domestic liquidity (money, bonds and equity as % of GDP)	External liquidity (foreign reserves as % of GDP)
Nigeria	6%	60%	11%
South			
Africa	31%	366%	14%
India	13%	219%	15%
Indonesia	12%	115%	12%

Figure 2: Nigeria's 2018 liquidity in comprarison

Nigerian bond and equity markets are just as shallow as the banks, with the result that access to these markets is restricted to the biggest issuers. The government dominates the bond market (raising alarm about the cost of accessing bonds) and increasingly issues foreign bonds that offer much lower rates; and the biggest companies dominate the equity market. Nigeria's peers have deeper banks, bonds and equity markets that offer access to low-cost financing for government, companies and households.

Figure 5: Nigeria's external liquidity (foreign reserves as % of GDP), 1981 - 2018



The weakness of the naira relative to the US dollar and other currencies is the main reason why Nigerian banks and bonds, as well as the equity market, are shallow. Assets denominated in a weak currency will be poor stores of wealth, and asset holders will be better off holding hard currency or real estate than keeping wealth they do not require for transactions in naira-denominated bank deposits, bonds or equity.

3. Foreign exchange liquidity threshold

There are three thresholds of external liquidity that foreign exchange markets or the national foreign reserves holdings should meet for the market and the exchange rate to remain stable:

- · Transactions or external payment threshold
- Precautionary or insurance threshold
- Speculative or investment threshold foreign reserve buffers

With foreign reserves at 10.8% of GDP, compared with 20% of GDP or more in many of its peers, Nigeria struggles to meet the transactions or external payment thresholds defined to include trade obligations, such as payments for imports, and financial obligations, such as short-term external financial claims on households, businesses and financial institutions.

The foreign exchange at Nigeria's disposal cannot meet transaction demands, and the central bank has adopted various methods to exclude a growing number of lawful buyers from the market since 2014, resulting in a multiplicity of exchange rates. Despite this, the naira has lost nearly two-thirds of its value against the US dollar over the past four years to reflect the forex market's illiquidity.

As Nigeria struggles to meet the lowest threshold, any unforeseen shock that requires precautionary reserve holdings, such as a shock to its export volume or export price or a shock to capital outflows, will push the country and the naira into another tailspin for as long as the shock lasts, just as any opportunity that requires the deployment of speculative reserve holdings cannot be seized.

Thus, the country's perennial external illiquidity crisis is the bane of the weak naira, and the weak naira is in turn the bane of the shallow banks, bonds and equity market, and shallow domestic financial markets is the reason for growth reversals.

Understanding the growth-stability-liquidity nexus

External liquidity is key for an economy of Nigeria's size and profile. Since the early 1980s, the country has struggled with growth because of domestic illiquidity, whilst also contending with exchange rate stability because of external illiquidity. Policymakers needs to recognise that, unless liquidity concerns are addressed, growth and stability aspirations will remain elusive. The 2004-2008 growth acceleration episode in Nigeria was fuelled by a surge in its external liquidity as a result of steady increases in the price and volume of oil exports.



Figure 6: Broad money (M2) (in billion USD), 1990 - 2018



Figure 7: Bonds (as % of GDP), 1981 - 2017

The period stands out in Nigeria's post-1980 history as the only time the naira appreciated, the banking system, bond market and equity market deepened markedly, and growth accelerated. Such was the magic of adequate external liquidity. Nigeria must thus ensure adequate internal and external liquidity to restore growth and stability. The sequencing is crucial: external liquidity is required for exchange rate stability; exchange rate stability is required for domestic liquidity; and domestic liquidity is required for growth.



Figure 8: Equity (as % of GDP, 1981 - 2017)

Nigeria must reorder its economic policy priorities to:

External liquidity \rightarrow exchange rate stability \rightarrow domestic liquidity \rightarrow growth Stimulating enough foreign capital inflows is key for stability; getting business done, even when business is easy to do; the rebuilding of infrastructure; growth acceleration; growth diversification; and generating employment, wellbeing and prosperity to underpin inclusive security.



Figure 9: Nigeria's Gross Domestic Product (in billion USD), 1980 - 2018

Figure 10: Exchange rate (Naira/USD), 1980 - 2018



As Joseph Joyce demonstrated in 2018, over many years Nigeria's peers have learnt to deepen external liquidity, stabilise the exchange rate, deepen domestic liquidity, and grow the economy, with external liquidity as the silver bullet (see table 2 above). The other three - exchange rate stability, domestic liquidity and growth acceleration - will happen automatically once the steps needed to boost external liquidity are implemented effectively. This is evidenced by Nigeria's 2004-2008 external liquidity surge, exchange appreciation, financial deepening and growth acceleration.

Opportunities for unlocking liquidity

At least three factors currently which are all favourable for unlocking the liquidity that the Nigerian economy urgently needs. These include the global liquidity glut, opportunity to join the emerging market economies' race for the global liquidity glut, and opportunities for domestic public wealth conversion. Each of these is analysed below:

1. Opportunities offered by the global liquidity glut

The global liquidity glut offers unprecedented opportunities for Nigeria to attract easy capital inflows to stabilise the naira, deepen domestic liquidity and fuel growth.

Figure 11: Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) stocks (as % of global FDI stocks) Nigeria in comparison, 1990 – 2018





Figure 12: Nigeria's share of global FDI stocks and Nigeria's share of developing countries exports, 1990 – 2018

Figure 13: Nigeria's FDI and remittances flows (as % of global flows), 1990 - 2018



Annual inflows of foreign direct investment (FDI) and diaspora remittances into developing countries now exceed a trillion US dollars, but these are concentrated in a few developing countries that have adopted investmentfriendly policies. Nigeria is not on that list, as its shares of both types of inflow have been on a steep downward trajectory even as these flows have doubled over the past decade and a half.

Figure 14: Nigeria's share of exports (goods and services) of developing countries exports (in %), 1990 - 2018



2. Opportunities to join emerging market economies' race for global liquidity

It is imperative that Nigeria joins the short list of developing countries that are getting increasing shares of both FDI and remittances as these flows continue to surge. Unfolding global realities mean that Nigeria could easily adopt policies that would raise external liquidity thresholds enough to switch from contraction to expansion. The country must join the race for massive private-to-government remittances from non-resident citizens and narrow the gaps between itself, China and India.



Figure 15: Inward remittances (billion USD), 2005 - 2018, Nigeria in comparison

Nigeria's peers like Egypt, India and Saudi Arabia and other emerging markets are taking full advantage of the opportunities to deepen external liquidity, stabilise their currencies, and deepen domestic liquidity to fuel growth, infrastructural development, employment and poverty eradication. In the mid-1990s, Nigeria had larger stocks of FDI than India, Saudi Arabia or the United Arab Emirates, but these have all since overtaken Nigeria. India now has more than triple, and Saudi Arabia more than double, Nigeria's FDI stock.

3. Opportunities for domestic public wealth conversion

Despite the negative external income shock, the domestic reality is that Nigeria remains prodigiously asset rich. However, while its economic and financial struggles resulting from the decline in income have been prominent in economic news headlines, the value of assets owned by Nigeria and the solutions these assets could unleash have been less highlighted. It is time to pay attention to the hidden value of these assets and unlock the considerable domestic and external liquidity needed to arrest Nigeria's extant economic and financial crisis.

Options for unlocking liquidity

The following four options could raise domestic and external liquidity thresholds in Nigeria:

- a) Securitise (not sell) equity holdings in Nigeria Liquefied Natural Gas (NLNG) and other oil and gas joint ventures to give Nigerians at home and in the diaspora the opportunity to invest in these assets and earn some of the dividends.
- b) Privatise to attract brownfield FDI by converting all wholly owned corporate assets into securitisable joint venture stakes in which the government owns up to 49%, privatising (selling) the rest to allow foreign investors to own a minimum of 51%, like the NLNG.
- c) Liberalise to attract greenfield FDI by breaking the government's monopoly on infrastructure to encourage the entry of foreign investors operating in parallel to joint ventures.
- d) Commercialise idle or under-utilised government-owned land and built structures by leasing (not selling) them, relocating uneconomic activities from prime locations and repurposing such locations for leasing, to open new streams of lease/rental income into government coffers.

Doing all these will change Nigeria's economic, fiscal and financial narratives by unlocking vast amounts of liquidity to strengthen the naira, rejuvenate fiscal, financial and foreign exchange streams. The new liquidity will also help to rebuild infrastructure, diversify and accelerate growth, eradicate poverty and unemployment, and lay the foundations for shared prosperity. Leading developing countries such as China and India adopt different combinations of the four options to fuel their development. Nigeria's high population, scattered across hundreds of densely populated cities, combined with the recent oil boom, have bequeathed the country with valuable but idle public assets that can be unlocked to raise much required liquidity.